From:
To: new.case@beis.ecase.co.uk

Subject: FW: *not a constituent* Fwd: Letter to Nick Timothy re The Moat House Hotel reception

Date: 20 October 2023 14:49:56

From: Secretary Of State (Energy Security) <Secretary.State@energysecurity.gov.uk>

Sent: Friday, October 20, 2023 2:40 PM

To: BEIS Correspondence <correspondence@energysecurity.gov.uk>

Subject: FW: *not a constituent* Fwd: Letter to Nick Timothy re The Moat House Hotel

reception

Hi team,

Please log for TO response.

Thanks,

Julia Ross | Senior Private Secretary to Secretary of State, Department for Energy Security and Net Zero |

From: COUTINHO, Claire C < openition.com/openition

Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2023 8:53 AM

To: Secretary Of State (Energy Security) < <u>Secretary.State@energysecurity.gov.uk</u>>

Subject: *not a constituent* Fwd: Letter to Nick Timothy re The Moat House Hotel reception

From: S J Boyce <u>@btinternet.com</u>>

Sent: Wednesday, October 18, 2023 7:21:39 AM

To: office@westsuffolkconservatives.com <office@westsuffolkconservatives.com>

Cc: Catherine Judkins < <u>@vahoo.co.uk</u>>; Katherine Stewart

@freckenham.com>; CPRE <office@cprecambs.org.uk>; HANCOCK, Matthew

@parliament.uk; FRAZER, Lucy < @parliament.uk; COUTINHO,

Claire C < <u>@parliament.uk</u>>; Selina Boyce < <u>@btinternet.com</u>>

Subject: Letter to Nick Timothy re The Moat House Hotel reception



Nick Timothy Esq

c/o West Suffolk Conservatives

I was at the Moat House Hotel yesterday evening but by the time it was time for me to go, you had quite a queue waiting to talk to you. I'm sure there will be another opportunity.

There are plenty of things on my mind, but the most pressing is the Sunnica problem. I know that you, along with Lucy Frazer and all our neighbouring authorities, councils, residents and pretty well anyone I talk to, bar only those with a direct interest in the Sunnica proposal, are against this development. As I have pointed out in the past, it won't affect me directly, but this is a huge sprawling mess of a proposal which will bulldoze its way over nearly 3,000 acres of agricultural land and will devastate the lives of many villagers and other rural residents. It seems, too, that it is not what it appears to be, but I can't go into all the complexities here. I'm sure you have been informed by the Say No to Sunnica group. The plain truth is that it is WRONG!

You touched on this yesterday and mentioned that solar should be put on commercial rooftops, which is quite right. But it should also be mandatory that solar is put on every new house which is built. It is astonishing that this hasn't been the rule for years and yet there are still houses and warehouses being built with none. Why, for instance, can't we insist that all airport carparking is covered in solar panels? Most industrial sites and shopping precincts have huge carparking areas. So why not cover those too? I know it's more expensive than plastering greenfield sites, but in the long run (beyond my life) surely it must be cost effective?

How is it that with the almost 100% objection (except for interested parties) this wasn't dismissed out of hand? And what a waste of time and taxpayer money that it has been rumbling on for nearly 6 years. I don't know how much influence you have as you are not yet our MP, but I'm sure you know your way around Westminster well enough that you can apply some pressure on Clare Coutinho. Has she even been here to see the plan the land and the people?

Thank you for your support thus far and please pass on my thanks for those who organised yesterday's reception.

Selina Boyce

Cc Catherine Judkins, Katherine Stewart, CPRE, Matt Hancock, Lucy Frazer, Clare Coutinho

UK Parliament Disclaimer: this e-mail is confidential to the intended recipient. If you have received it in error, please notify the sender and delete it from your system. Any unauthorised use, disclosure, or copying is not permitted. This e-mail has been checked for viruses, but no liability is accepted for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this e-mail. This e-mail address is not secure, is not encrypted and should not be used for sensitive data.